

AD-A162 902

COMPRESSION OF RUBBER LAYERS BONDED BETWEEN TWO
PARALLEL RIGID CYLINDERS O. (U) AKRON UNIV OH INST OF
POLYMER SCIENCE A N GENT ET AL DEC 85 TR-1

1/1


UNCLASSIFIED

N00014-85-K-0222

F/G 11/10

NL





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD-A162 902

12

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N00014-85-K-0222
Project NR 092-555

Technical Report No. 1

COMPRESSION OF RUBBER LAYERS BONDED BETWEEN TWO
PARALLEL RIGID CYLINDERS OR BETWEEN TWO
RIGID SPHERES

by

A. N. Gent and Byoungkyeu Park

Institute of Polymer Science
The University of Akron
Akron, Ohio 44325

December, 1985

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unrestricted

DTIC
ELECTE
DEC 31 1985
S D

DTIC FILE COPY

85 12 80 12

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A162 902	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Compression of Rubber Layers Bonded between two Parallel Rigid Cylinders or between two Rigid Spheres		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) A. N. Gent and Byoungkyeu Park		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-85-K-0222
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute of Polymer Science The University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44325		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 092-555
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Power Program Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE December, 1985
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 27
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) According to attached distribution list. Approved for public release; distribution unrestricted.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Submitted for publication in: Rubber Chemistry and Technology		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Bonded Layers, Compression, Cylinders, Deformation, Elastic, Pressure, Rubber, Spheres, Stiffness, Stress Analysis.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) An approximate theoretical treatment is presented for small compressions of a rubber layer bonded between two opposed curved rigid surfaces, cylindrical or spherical in shape. The deformation is treated in two parts: a simple compression; and shearing deformations necessary to maintain zero slip at the bonded surfaces. Forces and hydrostatic pressures due to the second deformation are found to be the major terms for thin layers. Predicted compression stiffnesses are compared with measured values for various initial separations		

1. Introduction

The stiffness of bonded rubber blocks under small compressive deformations has been studied extensively. A recent review deals with the problem in connection with the use of elastomeric laminates as bridge bearings (1). However, previous studies have been mainly concerned with a flat rubber block bonded between two flat rigid plates. An approximate treatment is given here for the stiffness of a rubber layer bonded between two opposed curved rigid surfaces, cylindrical or spherical in shape (Figure 1). The predictions of the theoretical treatment are then compared with measured values of compressive stiffness for various initial separations (and hence rubber layer thicknesses) of the two rigid surfaces, relative to their diameter. *Key word:*

2. Theoretical considerations

The analysis follows that of Gent and Meinecke (2), treating the total compressive force \underline{F} necessary to bring about a small compressive displacement $\underline{\delta}$ as consisting of two parts: \underline{F}_1 arising from a simple compression of the rubber and \underline{F}_2 arising from the restraints at the bonded surfaces. Thus, the compression stiffness $\underline{K}(=\underline{F}/\underline{\delta})$ is given by

$$K = K_1 + K_2 \quad (1)$$

where $\underline{K}_1 = \underline{F}_1/\underline{\delta}$, $\underline{K}_2 = \underline{F}_2/\underline{\delta}$.

The calculation of \underline{K}_1 merely involves the integration of compressive stresses on the assumption that the rubber is not bonded to the curved surfaces and hence undergoes a simple compression. Details are given in Appendix 1. The results are



A-1		
-----	--	--

Codes
/or
Special

$$3 K_1 / 4 L E = A I - \pi / 2 \quad (2)$$

for a layer compressed between two long rigid cylinders of length L and diameter D , where E is Young's modulus of the rubber. The term A represents

$$A = 1 + (h/D), \quad (3)$$

where h denotes the separation of the cylinders, and

$$I = [2/(A^2 - 1)^{1/2}] \tan^{-1} [(A^2 - 1)^{1/2} / (A - 1)]. \quad (4)$$

For a layer compressed between two rigid spheres of diameter D ,

$$K_1 / D E = (\pi / 2) \{ A \ln [A / (A - 1)] - 1 \}. \quad (5)$$

The terms K_1 are relatively small in comparison with the terms K_2 when the rigid surfaces are close together and the rubber layer is thin at its center. Computation of K_2 is difficult, however. The stresses in the interior of a bonded rubber block are complex. For simplicity, they are replaced here by a hydrostatic pressure P , which is a function only of the lateral distance x of the point in question from the central axis. This simple stress system can be maintained only if the deformation takes a particularly simple form, in which horizontal planes in the undeformed material remain plane in the deformed state and originally-vertical planes become parabolic displacement fronts in the compressed state.

This simple deformation regime has been assumed to hold previously for rubber blocks compressed between flat parallel plates (2), and it appears to be satisfactory, except near the free surface, when the block is thin (3). In the present case, it will clearly be invalid away from the center of the layer, but the contribution of rubber in these regions to the total compressive force will be small anyway. The assumption is retained, therefore, in order to calculate the extent of lateral bulging, shown schematically in Figure 2, and the corresponding shear deformations which are maintained by the internal pressure \underline{P} .

Details are given in Appendix 2. The results for the maximum pressure \underline{P}_m when $\underline{x} = 0$ and the stiffness component \underline{K}_2 are as follows for a layer compressed between two rigid cylinders

$$K_2/LE = (\pi/2) \left[1 + (1/2A) - A[(2A^2 - 3)I - 1]/2(A^2 - 1) \right], \quad (6)$$

where \underline{A} and \underline{I} are given by equations 3 and 4, and

$$P_m D/E\delta = 1/2A(A - 1)^2. \quad (7)$$

For a block compressed between two rigid spheres:

$$K_2/DE = (\pi/8) \left[3 + (1/2A) + \{1/(A - 1)\} + 3A \ln\{1 - (1/A)\} \right] \quad (8)$$

and

$$P_m D/E\delta = 1/4A(A - 1)^2 \quad (9)$$

Values of K_1 and K_2 , given by equations 2 and 6 for an elastic layer bonded between two rigid cylinders and by equations 5 and 8 for an elastic layer bonded between two rigid spheres, provide theoretical estimates of the compression stiffness, equation 1. They are compared below with experimentally-measured values of compression stiffness for layers of a silicone elastomer of varied thickness h , bonded between two long rigid cylinders or two rigid spheres.

3. Experimental details

(i) Preparation of test specimens.

Test specimens were prepared using a castable silicone rubber formulation (Sylgard S-184, plus 10 percent of Sylgard C-184 crosslinking agent, both supplied by Dow Corning Corporation). The mixture was degassed under vacuum for 30 min and then poured into the mold cavity and cured for 24 h at 80° C.

Molds were prepared using thick Mylar film to contain the silicone rubber formulation in the gap between two stainless steel tubes, placed parallel to each other, or between two glass spherical flasks. The steel tubes were 127 mm long and 19.0 mm in external diameter. The glass flasks had an external diameter of 41.7 mm. Specimens were prepared with various spacings in the range 2 - 30 mm.

Before use, the steel cylinders and glass flasks were thoroughly cleaned and coated with a primer (92-023 primer, Dow Corning Corporation) to secure good bonding to the silicone rubber compound.

(ii) Measurement of compression stiffness.

Force-displacement relations were determined in compression, using an Instron test machine to apply the loads and cathetometers to measure the corresponding deflections. Values of compression stiffness were then given by the initial slopes of the force-displacement relations.

In a separate experiment, using a cast bar of the same rubber compound under small tensile deformations, the value of Young's modulus E for this material was found to be 2.53 ± 0.1 MPa.

4. Experimental results

Experimentally-determined values of the compression stiffness K are given in Table 1 for rubber layers bonded between two rigid cylinders and in Table 2 for rubber layers bonded between two rigid spheres. These results are plotted in Figure 3 in a reduced form; K/LE for layers of length L compressed between two rigid cylinders and K/DE for layers compressed between two spheres of diameter D , where E is Young's modulus; against the minimum separation h of the rigid members relative to their diameter D . Because of the wide range of values, logarithmic scales have been employed for both axes.

When the effects of outward bulging are ignored and only the stiffness component K_1 arising from simple compression is considered, equations 2 and 5 predict an inverse dependence of the reduced stiffness upon the ratio h/D , for relatively large separations. Such a dependence is represented by the broken linear relations in Figure 3, with a slope of -1: $K/LE = (4/3) (D/h)$ and $K/DE = (\pi/4) (D/h)$.

As can be seen in Tables 1 and 2, and Figure 3, the experimental results are in reasonably good agreement with the theoretical predictions. For layer thicknesses, denoted by the ratio h/D , smaller than about 1 the measured stiffnesses for layers between two rigid spheres tend to be somewhat lower than an inverse proportionality to h/D would suggest, in accordance with the full theory (lower full curve in Figure 3). Measured stiffnesses for layers between two rigid cylinders, on the other hand, tend to be somewhat higher at small thicknesses than an inverse proportionality to h/D would require, but again the actual dependence is predicted reasonably well by the full theory, at least for minimum separations h as small as about $0.1 D$.

We conclude that the theoretical treatment, although including severe approximations, accounts satisfactorily for the stiffness of thin elastic layers bonded between two rigid parallel cylinders or between two rigid spheres, over a wide range of layer thickness extending down to one-tenth of the cylinder or sphere diameter and probably to still smaller thicknesses.

Acknowledgements

This work forms part of a study of elastomeric composites supported by a research grant from the Office of Naval Research (ONR N00014-76-C-0408) and, in part by a grant-in-aid from Lord Corporation. It was completed while one of the authors (A.N.G.) was a Hill Visiting Professor in the Department of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanics at the University of Minnesota. Acknowledgement is due to Professor Sethna, Head of the Department, and his colleagues for kind hospitality during this stay.

References

1. J. F. Stanton and C. W. Roeder, "Elastomeric Bearings Design, Construction, and Materials," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 248, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC, 1982.
2. A. N. Gent and E. A. Meinecke, Polym. Eng. Sci. 10, 48-53 (1970).
3. A. N. Gent, R. L. Henry and M. L. Roxbury, J. Appl. Mech., Trans. A.S.M.E. 41, 855-859 (1974).

Appendix

1. Calculation of stiffness component K_1 arising from simple compression.

(a) Layer between two parallel rigid cylinders.

A sketch of the deformed cross-section is shown in Figure 2. The compressive force dF_1 in an element of width dx and of unit length, located at a distance x from the center, is given by

$$dF_1 = 4E\delta \, dx / 3h_x \quad (A.1)$$

where

$$h_x = h + D(1 - \cos \theta) \quad (A.2)$$

and

$$x = (D/2) \sin \theta. \quad (A.3)$$

The effective value of the compression modulus has been taken as $4E/3$ in equation A.1 because, for relatively-long cylinders, the material undergoes only lateral displacements on compression. On substituting in equation A.1 for h_x and x from equations A.2 and A.3, and integrating between $\theta = -\pi/2$ and $\theta = +\pi/2$, the compressive force component F_1 per unit length is obtained as

$$3F_1/4E\delta = AI - \pi/2 \quad (A.4)$$

where A and I are defined in equations 3 and 4.

(b) Layer between two rigid spheres.

In this case the compressive force dF₁ in an elementary ring of radius x and width dx is given by

$$dF_1 = 2\pi E \delta x \, dx / h_x \quad (A.5)$$

where h_x and x are given in terms of the subtended angle θ (Figure 2) by equations A.2 and A.3. On integrating between x = 0 and x = D/2, the result given in equation 5 is obtained.

2. Calculation of stiffness component K_z and maximum pressure P_m.

(a) Layer bonded between two parallel rigid cylinders.

The volume xδ displaced by compression of the material lying initially between vertical planes at x = 0 and x = x is represented by a cross-hatched region in Figure 2. The outward bulge it gives rise to, assumed parabolic in shape, is represented by the second cross-hatched region, having a maximum lateral displacement k_x. If the material is incompressible in bulk, these two volumes are equal and hence

$$k_x = 3x\delta/2h_x \quad (A.6)$$

The pressure gradient necessary to maintain the parabolic displacement is given by (2):

$$dP/dx = -8Ek_x/3h_x^2 = -4E\delta x/h_x^3. \quad (A.7)$$

On integrating between the free surface lying at $x = D/2$ and $x = x$, the pressure at x is obtained as

$$P_x = (E\delta/2AD)/(A \sec \theta - 1)^2 \quad (A.8)$$

and the maximum hydrostatic pressure set up at $x = \theta = 0$ is then

$$P_m = E\delta/2AD(A - 1)^2. \quad (A.9)$$

A contribution to the normal force acting on the cylinders at $x = 0$ is also made by the simple compressive force treated in Appendix 1. It amounts to $4E\delta/3h$, from equation A.1.

The normal force F_2 per unit length associated with the pressure distribution P_x is obtained by integrating equation A.8 between $\theta = -\pi/2$ and $\theta = +\pi/2$:

$$F_2 = \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} P_x dx, \quad (A.10)$$

yielding the result given in equation 6.

(b) Layer bonded between two rigid spheres.

In this case, conservation of volume on compression and the assumption of a parabolic displacement bulge, Figure 2, leads to

the following relation for the maximum lateral displacement $\underline{k_x}$

$$k_x = 3x\delta/4h_x \quad (A.11)$$

in place of equation A.6. The corresponding pressure gradient is given by

$$dP/dx = -2E\delta x/h_x^3 \quad (A.12)$$

in place of equation A.7. On integrating between the free surface at $\underline{x = D/2}$ and $\underline{x = x}$, the pressure at \underline{x} is now obtained as

$$P_x = (E\delta/4AD)/(A \sec \theta - 1)^2 \quad (A.13)$$

and the maximum hydrostatic pressure set up at $\underline{x = \theta = 0}$ is

$$P_m = E\delta/4AD(A - 1)^2. \quad (A.14)$$

The normal force $\underline{F_x}$, arising from the pressure distribution $\underline{P_x}$, is given by integrating equation A.13 over the bonded surface, yielding the result given in equation 8. Again, an additional contribution to the normal stress at $\underline{x = 0}$ is made by the simple compression term treated in Appendix 1. It amounts to $\underline{E\delta/h}$.

Table 1: Compression stiffness \underline{K} for rubber layers of various minimum thickness \underline{h} , bonded between two parallel rigid cylinders of diameter $\underline{D} = 19.0$ mm and of length $\underline{L} = 127$ mm.

\underline{h} (mm)	\underline{K} (expt.) (MN/m)	$\underline{K}/\underline{LE}$ (expt.)	$\underline{K}/\underline{LE}$ (calc.)
1.975	7.70	23.95	19.15
1.985	5.60	17.45	19.05
4.00	2.10	6.54	7.55
4.05	1.98	6.16	7.40
7.30	1.11	3.46	4.05
7.90	0.85	2.645	3.30
15.65	0.365	1.135	1.55

Table 2: Compression stiffness \underline{K} for rubber layers of various minimum thickness \underline{h} , bonded between two rigid spheres of diameter $\underline{D} = 41.7$ mm.

\underline{h} (mm)	\underline{K} (expt.) (kN/m)	$\underline{K/DE}$ (expt.)	$\underline{K/DE}$ (calc.)
2.67	760	7.20	7.10
2.88	538	5.10	6.63
3.13	635	6.02	6.15
5.85	380	3.60	3.52
9.15	268	2.54	2.38
9.80	210	1.99	2.24
13.45	169	1.60	1.71
21.6	98	0.929	1.135
28.1	101	0.957	0.905

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Rubber layer (cross-hatched) bonded between (a) two rigid spheres and (b) two rigid parallel cylinders.

Figure 2. Sketch of a half-section of a compressed layer, showing the volume between $x = 0$ and x that is displaced by compression and the maximum lateral displacement k_x of the plane initially at x .

Figure 3. Experimental measurements of compression stiffness K for rubber layers bonded between two rigid parallel cylinders (open circles) and between two rigid spheres (filled-in circles) plotted against the minimum thickness h relative to the diameter D of the cylinders or spheres. The full curves represent the theoretical predictions; equations 2 and 6 for cylinders and equations 5 and 8 for spheres. The broken curves represent the theoretical predictions when the separation h/D is relatively large and the restraints at the bonded surfaces become unimportant.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

(DYN)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. R.S. Miller
Office of Naval Research
Code 432P
Arlington, VA 22217
(10 copies)

Dr. J. Pastine
Naval Sea Systems Command
Code 06R
Washington, DC 20362

Dr. Kenneth D. Hartman
Hercules Aerospace Division
Hercules Incorporated
Alleghany Ballistic Lab
P.O. Box 210
Washington, DC 21502

Mr. Otto K. Heiney
AFATL-DLJG
Elgin AFB, FL 32542

Dr. Merrill K. King
Atlantic Research Corp.
5390 Cherokee Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22312

Dr. R.L. Lou
Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co.
Bldg. 05025 - Dept 5400 - MS 167
P.O. Box 15699C
Sacramento, CA 95813

Dr. R. Olsen
Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co.
Bldg. 05025 - Dept 5400 - MS 167
P.O. Box 15699C
Sacramento, CA 95813

Dr. Randy Peters
Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co.
Bldg. 05025 - Dept 5400 - MS 167
P.O. Box 15699C
Sacramento, CA 95813

Dr. D. Mann
U.S. Army Research Office
Engineering Division
Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211

Dr. L.V. Schmidt
Office of Naval Technology
Code 07CT
Arlington, VA 22217

JHU Applied Physics Laboratory
ATTN: CPIA (Mr. T.W. Christian)
Johns Hopkins Rd.
Laurel, MD 20707

Dr. R. McGuire
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
University of California
Code L-324
Livermore, CA 94550

P.A. Miller
736 Leavenworth Street, #6
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dr. W. Moniz
Naval Research Lab.
Code 6120
Washington, DC 20375

Dr. K.F. Mueller
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R11
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Prof. M. Nicol
Dept. of Chemistry & Biochemistry
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Mr. L. Roslund
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R10C
White Oak, Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. David C. Sayles
Ballistic Missile Defense
Advanced Technology Center
P.O. Box 1500
Huntsville, AL 35807

(DYN)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Mr. R. Geisler
ATTN: DY/MS-24
AFRPL
Edwards AFB, CA 93523

Naval Air Systems Command
ATTN: Mr. Bertram P. Sobers
NAVAIR-320G
Jefferson Plaza 1, RM 472
Washington, DC 20361

R.B. Steele
Aerojet Strategic Propulsion Co.
P.O. Box 15699C
Sacramento, CA 95813

Mr. M. Stosz
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R10B
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Mr. E.S. Sutton
Thiokol Corporation
Elkton Division
P.O. Box 241
Elkton, MD 21921

Dr. Grant Thompson
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
Wasatch Division
MS 240 P.O. Box 524
Brigham City, UT 84302

Dr. R.S. Valentini
United Technologies Chemical Systems
P.O. Box 50015
San Jose, CA 95150-0015

Dr. R.F. Walker
Chief, Energetic Materials Division
DRSMC-LCE (D), B-3022
USA ARDC
Dover, NJ 07801

Dr. Janet Wall
Code 012
Director, Research Administration
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93943

Director
US Army Ballistic Research Lab.
ATTN: DRXBR-IBD
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Commander
US Army Missile Command
ATTN: DRSMI-RKL
Walter W. Wharton
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898

Dr. Ingo W. May
Army Ballistic Research Lab.
ARRADCOM
Code DRXBR - 1BD
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Dr. E. Zimet
Office of Naval Technology
Code 071
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Ronald L. Derr
Naval Weapons Center
Code 389
China Lake, CA 93555

T. Boggs
Naval Weapons Center
Code 389
China Lake, CA 93555

Lee C. Estabrook, P.E.
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
P.O. Box 30058
Shreveport, Louisiana 71130

Dr. J.R. West
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
P.O. Box 30058
Shreveport, Louisiana 71130

Dr. D.D. Dillehay
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
Longhorn Division
Marshall, TX 75670

G.T. Bowman
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

(DYN)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

R.E. Shenton
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

Mike Barnes
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

Dr. Lionel Dickinson
Naval Explosive Ordinance
Disposal Tech. Center
Code D
Indian Head, MD 20340

Prof. J.T. Dickinson
Washington State University
Dept. of Physics 4
Pullman, WA 99164-2814

M.H. Miles
Dept. of Physics
Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-2814

Dr. T.F. Davidson
Vice President, Technical
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
Aerospace Group
110 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Mr. J. Consaga
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R-16
Indian Head, MD 20640

Naval Sea Systems Command
ATTN: Mr. Charles M. Christensen
NAVSEA-62R2
Crystal Plaza, Bldg. 6, Rm 806
Washington, DC 20362

Mr. R. Beauregard
Naval Sea Systems Command
SEA 64E
Washington, DC 20362

Brian Wheatley
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

Mr. G. Edwards
Naval Sea Systems Command
Code 62R32
Washington, DC 20362

C. Dickinson
Naval Surface Weapons Center
White Oak, Code R-13
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Prof. John Deutch
MIT
Department of Chemistry
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. E.H. deButts
Hercules Aerospace Co.
P.O. Box 27408
Salt Lake City, UT 84127

David A. Flanigan
Director, Advanced Technology
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
Aerospace Group
110 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dr. L.H. Caveny
Air Force Office of Scientific
Research
Directorate of Aerospace Sciences
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332

W.G. Roger
Code 5253
Naval Ordnance Station
Indian Head, MD 20640

Dr. Donald L. Ball
Air Force Office of Scientific
Research
Directorate of Chemical &
Atmospheric Sciences
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332

(DYN)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Anthony J. Matuszko
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Directorate of Chemical & Atmospheric
Sciences
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, DC 20332

Dr. Michael Chaykovsky
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R11
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

J.J. Rocchio
USA Ballistic Research Lab.
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066

G.A. Zimmerman
Aerojet Tactical Systems
P.O. Box 13400
Sacramento, CA 95813

B. Swanson
INC-4 MS C-346
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

Dr. James T. Bryant
Naval Weapons Center
Code 3205B
China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. L. Rothstein
Assistant Director
Naval Explosives Dev. Engineering Dept.
Naval Weapons Station
Yorktown, VA 23691

Dr. M.J. Kamlet
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R11
White Oak, Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. Henry Webster, III
Manager, Chemical Sciences Branch
ATTN: Code 5063
Crane, IN 47522

Dr. A.L. Slafkosky
Scientific Advisor
Commandant of the Marine Corps
Code RD-1
Washington, DC 20380

Dr. H.G. Adolph
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R11
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

U.S. Army Research Office
Chemical & Biological Sciences
Division
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

G. Butcher
Hercules, Inc.
MS X2H
P.O. Box 98
Magna, Utah 84044

W. Waesche
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

Dr. John S. Wilkes, Jr.
FJSRL/NC
USAF Academy, CO 80840

Dr. H. Rosenwasser
AIR-320R
Naval Air Systems Command
Washington, DC 20361

Dr. Joyce J. Kaufman
The Johns Hopkins University
Department of Chemistry
Baltimore, MD 21218

Dr. A. Nielsen
Naval Weapons Center
Code 385
China Lake, CA 93555

(DYN)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

K.D. Pae
High Pressure Materials Research Lab.
Rutgers University
P.O. Box 909
Piscataway, NJ 08854

Dr. John K. Dienes
T-3, B216
Los Alamos National Lab.
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87544

A.N. Gent
Institute Polymer Science
University of Akron
Akron, OH 44325

Dr. D.A. Shockey
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. R.B. Kruse
Morton Thiokol, Inc.
Huntsville Division
Huntsville, AL 35807-7501

G. Butcher
Hercules, Inc.
P.O. Box 98
Magna, UT 84044

W. Waesche
Atlantic Research Corp.
7511 Wellington Road
Gainesville, VA 22065

Dr. R. Bernecker
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R13
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Prof. Edward Price
Georgia Institute of Tech.
School of Aerospace Engineering
Atlanta, GA 30332

J.A. Birkett
Naval Ordnance Station
Code 5253K
Indian Head, MD 20640

Prof. R.W. Armstrong
University of Maryland
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering
College Park, MD 20742

Herb Richter
Code 385
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

J.T. Rosenberg
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

G.A. Zimmerman
Aerojet Tactical Systems
P.O. Box 13400
Sacramento, CA 95813

Prof. Kenneth Kuo
Pennsylvania State University
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering
University Park, PA 16802

T.L. Boggs
Naval Weapons Center
Code 3891
China Lake, CA 93555

(DYN)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. C.S. Coffey
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R13
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

D. Curran
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

E.L. Throckmorton
Code SP-2731
Strategic Systems Program Office
Crystal Mall #3, RM 1048
Washington, DC 23076

Dr. R. Martinson
Lockheed Missiles and Space Co.
Research and Development
3251 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304

C. Gotzmer
Naval Surface Weapons Center
Code R-11
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

G.A. Lo
3251 Hanover Street
B204 Lockheed Palo Alto Research Lab
Palo Alto, CA 94304

R.A. Schapery
Civil Engineering Department
Texas A&M University
College Station, TX 77843

J.M. Culver
Strategic Systems Projects Office
SSPO/SP-2731
Crystal Mall #3, RM 1048
Washington, DC 20376

Prof. G.D. Duvall
Washington State University
Department of Physics
Pullman, WA 99163

Dr. E. Martin
Naval Weapons Center
Code 3858
China Lake, CA 93555

Dr. M. Farber
135 W. Maple Avenue
Monrovia, CA 91016

W.L. Elban
Naval Surface Weapons Center
White Oak, Bldg. 343
Silver Spring, MD 20910

G.E. Manser
Morton Thiokol
Wasatch Division
P.O. Box 524
Brigham City, UT 84302

R.G. Rosemeier
Brimrose Corporation
7720 Belair Road
Baltimore, MD 20742

Ser 432/84/340
Revised January 1985

Administrative Contracting
Officer (see contract for
address)
(1 copy)

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Attn: Code 2627
Washington, DC 20375
(6 copies)

Defense Technical Information Center
Bldg. 5, Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314
(12 copies)

Dr. Robert Polvani
National Bureau of Standards
Metallurgy Division
Washington, D.C. 20234

Dr. Y. Gupta
Washington State University
Department of Physics
Pullman, WA 99163

END

FILMED

2-86

DTIC